No. 2026-10197

Official Order
of the
Texas Commissioner of Insurance

Date: 10/23/2026

Subject Considered:

Texas Department of Insurance

v.

Prakash Mathew Thomas

SOAH Docket No. 454-26-20939.C

Order Denying Request for Rehearing

General Remarks and Official Action Taken:

The subject of this order is Prakash Mathew Thomas' request for a rehearing. This order denies Mr. Thomas' request.

Background

On September 9, 2026, Commissioner's Order No. 2026-10104 was issued. The order revokes Mr. Thomas' life agent license. The order was issued following issuance of a proposal for decision by a State Office of Administrative Hearings administrative law judge recommending that TDI revoke Mr. Thomas' license.

Mr. Thomas submitted an email stating his intent to appeal Order No. 2026-10104 on September 15, 2026. On September 23, 2026, Enforcement staff for the Texas Department of Insurance (TDI) submitted a reply to Mr. Thomas' email opposing a rehearing. On September 28, 2026, Mr. Thomas submitted a response to Enforcement staff's reply reiterating his desire to appeal Order No. 2026-10104 and requesting a rehearing.

Discussion

Under Government Code § 2001.146, a motion for rehearing must identify with particularity findings of fact or conclusions of law that are the subject of the complaint and any evidentiary or legal ruling claimed to be erroneous. The motion must also state the legal and factual basis for the claimed error.

In his September 15 email, Mr. Thomas said repeatedly that he wanted to appeal the revocation of his license, requested documents related to the proceeding, and asked for an explanation of the effect of the revocation. His email did not address the findings of fact or conclusions of law in Order No. 2026-10104.

In reply to Mr. Thomas, TDI Enforcement staff said that Mr. Thomas' request failed to articulate any errors or identify particular findings or conclusions to merit a rehearing. Enforcement asserted that the request was so indefinite, vague, and general that it did not meet the statutory requirements for a motion for rehearing and was therefore without merit and should be denied.

Mr. Thomas' response to TDI Enforcement staff contained multiple arguments that (1) he did not have a meaningful opportunity to participate in a hearing despite his attempts to do so and due to the burdens his incarceration put on him; (2) Enforcement failed to show all the statutory requirements that would lead to or permit revocation of his license; and (3) TDI should consider his circumstances, his time as a licensed agent, the impact revocation has on his professional future, and that he is challenging the validity of his guilty plea in the criminal matter.

As noted by TDI Enforcement staff, Mr. Thomas failed to articulate any errors or identify particular findings of fact or conclusions of law to merit a rehearing. Further, the ALJ concluded that summary disposition was appropriate because there was no genuine issue as to any material fact, and nothing in Mr. Thomas' initial email or his response to TDI Enforcement staff's reply refuted that. There is no reason to order a rehearing.

Order

It is ordered that the request for a rehearing filed by Prakash Mathew Thomas is denied.

Signed by:
Amanda Crawford
FE10434BC41A470...

Amanda Crawford
Commissioner of Insurance

Recommended and reviewed by:

Signed by:
Jessica Barta
5DAC5618BBC74D4...

Jessica Barta, General Counsel

Signed by:
Justin Beam
27ADF3DA5BAF4B7...

Justin Beam, Chief Clerk