Designated Doctor Case-Based Webinar Module 2

Spine MMI IR EOI



Disclaimer

The material presented in this workshop is made available by the Texas Department of Insurance -Division of Workers' Compensation (TDI-DWC) for educational purposes only. The material is not intended to represent the only method or procedure appropriate for the medical situations discussed. Rather, it is intended to present an approach, view, statement, or opinion of the faculty, which may be helpful to others who face similar situations.



Housekeeping

At the bottom of your screen, click to turn on the participant list:



Ensure your name (not phone # or intitials) is shown on the Participant List for CME and attendance purposes. If not, do the following to rename:

Hover over your current sign in and two boxes appear

Click on the Rename box

Type in your first and last name



Asking questions

Please mute your phone/VOIP audio connection

All attendees will be muted during the presentation and submit questions via Chat

Attendees may be unmuted at the request of the monitor or instructor for clarification or further discussion



Asking questions

You will find the Chat feature to the right of the participants list.



As the instructor goes through the course they will ask for questions via chat at the end of a case, or after a concept has been explained.

You may type your questions into Chat. The Chat monitor may answer your question in Chat, or have the instructor answer the question verbally.



Spine Maximum Medical Improvement / Impairment Rating (MMI/IR)



Combined Values for Impairment Rating

Each organ system/body area should be expressed as a whole person impairment, then

- Whole person impairments should be combined using the Combined Values Chart (pp. 322 – 324)
- "Combining" assures that the impairment can't exceed 100%. It reduces the remaining portion of the whole person that is available for the second impairment
- Example 40% c/w 40% (of the remaining 60%) = 64%



Using the Combined Values Chart (pg.322)

Combined Values Chart

| 1 2 3 4 | 2 3 4 5 | 4 5 6 | 6 7 | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Th eq im | ie va uiva pair | alue den rme | s are ts of nt v | the | imp s, lo | l fro airr cate | m t nen the | he f t rat laŋ | orm ting ger (| ula s. In of th | A+J the | B(l- cha | -A)= urta | <i>com</i> ll va the | bine lues side | d va are of |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| 5 6 7 8 9 | 6 7 8 9 10 | 7 8 9 10 11 | 8 9 10 11 12 | 9 10 11 12 13 | 10 11 12 13 14 | 12 13 14 14 | 14 14 15 | 15 16 | 17 | | | | | | | | | | | | Fo | to the column indicated by the smaller value at the bottom of the column is the combined value. For example, to combine 35% and 20% read down the side of the Column in the read across the 35% row until you come to the column in the read across the same across the solution of the column in the read across the solution of the column in the read across the solution of the column in the read across the solution of the column is the column in the read across the solution of the column in the read across the solution of the column is the column in the column in the column in the column is the column is the column is the column is the column in the column is | | | | f th ind | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 11 12 13 14 | 11 12 13 14 15 | 12 13 14 15 16 | 13 14 15 16 17 | 14 15 16 16 | 15 15 16 17 18 | 15 16 17 18 19 | 16 17 18 19 20 | 17 18 19 20 21 | 18 19 20 21 22 | 19 20 21 22 23 | 21 22 23 23 | 23 23 24 | 24 25 | 26 | | | | | | | co If t Th ine | nstr thre ten defi | e or use nite | ion of mo that ly, th | of th re is valu he fi | npa npa nal v | irm ird ti valu | , the ent he ti e in | valu hird eac | ger les a l val h in | impo re to ue to stan | be be ce b | con cate | t val nbin the g th | ue n ed, con e co | sele bin mbi | be ct a ed v |
| 15 16 17 18 19 | 16 17 18 19 20 | 17 18 19 20 21 | 18 19 19 20 21 | 18 19 20 21 22 | 19 20 21 22 23 | 20 21 22 23 24 | 21 22 23 24 25 | 22 23 24 25 25 | 23 24 24 25 26 | 24 24 25 26 27 | 24 25 26 27 28 | 25 26 27 28 29 | 26 27 28 29 30 | 27 28 29 29 30 | 28 29 29 30 31 | 29 30 31 32 | 31 32 33 | 33 34 | 34 | | No ea | oce: ste: I ch r | f im nust | pair firs | men t be | nts fi exp | rom | two ed a | orisav | mor | e or le-pe | gan rso | syst n in | em: pai | s are rme | to b nt p | е ак его |
| 20 21 22 23 24 | 21 22 23 24 25 | 22 23 24 25 26 | 22 23 24 25 26 | 23 24 25 26 27 | 24 25 26 27 28 | 25 26 27 28 29 | 26 27 27 28 29 | 26 27 28 29 30 | 27 28 29 30 31 | 28 29 30 31 32 | 29 30 31 31 32 | 30 30 31 32 33 | 30 31 32 33 34 | 31 32 33 34 35 | 32 33 34 35 35 | 33 34 34 35 36 | 34 34 35 36 37 | 34 35 36 37 38 | 35 36 37 38 38 | 36 37 38 38 39 | 38 38 39 40 | 39 40 41 | 41 41 | 42 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 26 27 28 29 | 26 27 28 29 30 | 27 27 28 29 30 | 27 28 29 30 31 | 28 29 30 31 32 | 29 30 31 32 33 | 30 30 31 32 33 | 30 31 32 33 34 | 31 32 33 34 35 | 32 33 34 34 35 | 33 33 34 35 36 | 33 34 35 36 37 | 34 35 36 37 38 | 35 36 36 37 38 | 36 36 37 38 39 | 36 37 38 39 40 | 37 38 39 40 40 | 38 39 39 40 41 | 39 39 40 41 42 | 39 40 41 42 42 | 40 41 42 42 43 | 41 42 42 43 44 | 42 42 43 44 45 | 42 43 44 45 45 | 43 44 45 45 46 | 44 45 45 46 47 | 45 46 47 47 | 47 47 48 | 48 49 | 50 | | | | | | | | |
| 30 31 32 33 34 | 31 32 33 34 35 | 31 32 33 34 35 | 32 33 34 35 36 | 33 34 35 36 37 | 34 34 35 36 37 | 34 35 36 37 38 | 35 36 37 38 39 | 36 37 37 38 39 | 36 37 38 39 40 | 37 38 39 40 41 | 38 39 39 40 41 | 38 39 40 41 42 | 39 40 41 42 43 | 40 41 42 43 | 41 41 42 43 44 | 41 42 43 44 45 | 42 43 44 45 | 43 43 44 45 46 | 43 44 45 46 47 | 44 45 46 47 | 45 45 46 47 48 | 45 46 47 48 49 | 46 47 48 48 49 | 47 48 48 49 50 | 48 49 50 51 | 48 49 50 50 51 | 49 50 50 51 52 | 50 50 51 52 52 | 50 51 52 52 53 | 51 52 53 54 | 52 53 54 54 | 54 54 55 | 55 56 | 56 | | | |
| 35 36 37 38 39 | 36 37 38 39 40 | 36 37 38 39 40 | 37 38 39 40 41 | 38 39 40 40 41 | 38 39 40 41 42 | 39 40 41 42 43 | 40 40 41 42 43 | 40 41 42 43 44 | 41 42 43 44 44 | 42 42 43 44 45 | 42 43 44 45 46 | 43 44 45 45 46 | 43 44 45 46 47 | 44 45 46 47 48 | 45 46 46 47 48 | 45 46 47 48 49 | 46 47 48 49 49 | 47 48 48 49 50 | 47 48 49 50 51 | 48 49 50 50 51 | 49 49 50 51 52 | 49 50 51 52 52 | 50 51 51 52 53 | 51 51 52 53 54 | 51 52 53 54 54 | 52 53 53 54 55 | 53 53 54 55 55 | 53 54 55 55 56 | 54 55 55 56 57 | 55 55 56 57 57 | 55 56 57 57 58 | 56 56 57 58 59 | 56 57 58 58 59 | 57 58 58 59 60 | 58 58 59 60 60 | 59 60 60 61 | 60 61 62 |

alue of A and B, where A and B are the decimal re expressed as percents. To combine any two f the chart and read along that row until you come he chart. At the intersection of the row and the

the chart until you come to the larger value, 35%. idicated by 20% at the bottom of the chart. At the re, 35% combined with 20% is 48%. Due to the e identified at the side of the chart.

any two and find their combined value as above. value of all. This process can be repeated ation of all the previous values. In each step of this ide of the chart.

combined to express a whole-person impairment, cent.



62 62 63

Combining 3 or More Impairment Values

"If three or more impairment values are to be combined, select any two and find their combined value as above. Then use that value and the third value to locate the combined value of all. This process can be repeated indefinitely, the final value in each instance being the combination of all the previous values. In each step of this process, the larger impairment value must be identified at the side of the chart." (page 322)



Combining 3 or More Impairment Values

Best practice - combine the largest % with the second largest %, then combine with third largest %, etc



Conflict between DWC Statutes/Rules and AMA *Guides*

DWC Statutes/Rules take precedence



- 62-year-old female veterinarian assistant began having low back pain after lifting 100-lb. dog at work
- Initial pain drawing showed left lumbosacral pain
- X-rays on date of injury showed a well healed compression fracture with less than 25% loss of anterior height of L1 vertebral body



- Developed pain in left leg in patchy distribution approximating L5-S1 on left which persisted
- Lumbar MRI at six weeks demonstrated 2 mm right paracentral protrusion at
 - L5-S1
 - no edema in any vertebral body



At MMI

- Right lumbar list
- Deviation to the right with lumbar flexion
- Limitation of right side bend with increased left lumbosacral pain
- Able to walk on heels and toes and squat without evidence of weakness
- Achilles DTRs absent bilaterally
- 1+ patellar DTRs bilaterally



At MMI (cont'd)

- Symmetric LE girth
- Complaints of decreased sensation left shin and lateral foot
- LE strength 5/5
- Left SLR 54^o with increased LBP, increased with ankle dorsiflexion
- Right SLR 70^o limited by hamstring tightness



On date of MMI, what is appropriate lumbosacral DRE category and why?

- A. DRE II: Due to compression fracture at L1
- B. DRE II: Due to non-uniform loss of range of motion
- C. DRE III: Due to radiculopathy from loss of sensation
- D. DRE III: Due to radiculopathy from loss of relevant reflex

Spine DRE II – Minor Impairment

Structural Inclusions

- Compression fracture < 25%
- Non-displaced posterior element fractures (displaced transverse/ spinous process fractures)

Clinical Findings

- Significant muscle guarding
- Non-uniform loss of ROM (dysmetria)
- Non-verifiable radicular complaints
- No signs of significant radiculopathy
- No objective signs of loss of motion segment integrity - lateral stress x-ray
- 5% WP



- 5% from DRE II nonuniform loss of motion
- Explain what this means to you and how it is appropriate to use in this case
- L1 compression fracture pre-exists injury (imaging inconsistent with acute L1 compression fx)
- There are no significant signs of radiculopathy
 - Loss of relevant reflex(es)
 - Unilateral atrophy >2 cm



Questions About Spine MMI/IR Case 1?



- 25-year-old painter fell off a ladder sustaining fracture of inferior right L5 facet
- Non-contrast lumbar CT and lumbar MRI showed acute right L5 facet fracture, no displacement of fracture into spinal canal
- Initial exam demonstrated
 - Decreased sensation in right L5 distribution
 - Weakness right hip abductors, tibialis anterior, EHL
 - Absent right medial hamstring DTR



F

At MMI

Ę

- EMG/NCS at 6 weeks post injury interpreted to show "acute right L5 radiculopathy"
- LE DTRs symmetrically decreased
- Right SLR 60^o with increased LBP and posterior thigh pain increased with ankle dorsiflexion
- Left SLR 70^o limited by hamstring tightness
- Decreased sensation in L5 dermatome



On date of MMI, what is the whole person IR?



On the date of MMI, what is the whole person IR?

- A. DRE I = 0%
- B. DRE II = 5%
- C. DRE III = 10%
- D. DRE IV = 20%



DRE II ... posterior element fracture without dislocation (not developmental spondylolysis); the fracture is healed, and there is no loss of structural integrity



Not DRE III for radiculopathy

 no significant signs of radiculopathy, such as loss of relevant reflex(es), or measured unilateral atrophy of greater than (or equal to) 2 cm above or below the knee on date of MMI

Not DRE III for posterior element fracture

 no displacement disrupting the spinal canal, healed without loss of structural integrity.





Not DRE IV – no loss of motion segment or structural integrity

Compare to adjacent level see figures 62 and 63, page 98 see text pages 98 and 99



Impairment Rating – Spine Loss of Motion Segment Integrity



Spine DRE IV - Lumbar

- Loss of Motion Segment Integrity
 - Lumbar
 - ≥ 5mm translation of one vertebra on another
 - >15^o of excess motion at L5/S1 than L4/L5
 - >11^o angular motion at adjacent levels
- Structural Inclusions
 - Compression fracture >50%
 - Multi-level spine segment structural compromise (fractures & dislocations)
- Lumbosacral = 20% WP



Spine DRE IV – Cervicothoracic and Thoracolmbar

- Loss of Motion Segment Integrity
 - Thoracic
 - ≥ 5mm translation of one vertebra on another
 - >11⁰ angular motion at adjacent levels
 - Cervical
 - >3.5 mm translation of one vertebra on another
 - >11⁰ angular motion at adjacent levels
- Structural Inclusions
 - Compression fracture >50%
 - Multi-level spine segment structural compromise (fractures & dislocations)
- Bilateral or Multi-level Radiculopathy
- Cervicothoracic = 25% WP
- Thoracolumbar = 20% WP





Questions About Spine MMI/IR Case 2?



- 45-year-old carpenter began having right low back and right lower extremity pain after lifting lumber at work
- Lumbar MRI showed 8 mm right posterolateral L4/5 HNP compressing the right L5 nerve root
- Lumbar ESI x 3 and PT with improvement
- EMG interpreted by neurologist to be positive for right L5 radiculopathy
- Does not want to pursue surgery, ESI or further treatment



At MMI

- History left knee ACL reconstruction
- Complains of intermittent low back and right buttock, posterior thigh and lateral calf pain
- Worsened with cough/sneeze, sitting, lifting and other activities involving trunk flexion
- Achilles DTRs 2+ bilaterally
- Patellar DTRs 1+ left, 2+ right
- Unable to elicit hamstring reflexes on either side



• Lumbar ROM

| Lumbar Flexion 35° | Extension 25° |
|--------------------|---------------|
| RLF 20° | LLF 10° |

- Right SLR 55^o which produces right low back, buttock, posterior thigh and calf pain
- Further worsened with ankle dorsiflexion and hip internal rotation/adduction
- Left SLR 70^o limited by hamstring tightness
- 4/5 strength of right EHL and hip abductors
- Symmetric LE girth



On date of MMI, what is the whole person IR?

- A. DRE I: 0%
- B. DRE II: 5%
- C. DRE III: 10%

D. DRE IV: 20%



DRE II

- Non-verifiable radicular complaints
- Non-uniform loss of motion

Not DRE III

 Not 2 cm or greater atrophy or loss of relevant DTR

What about L5? (C8? T1?)

- ROM Model??
- Rarely used and requires significant explanation why DRE is not applicable or why more data is needed to place in IE correct DRE
- Also used <u>as differentiator</u> if used



Spine DRE III Radiculopathy & Others

Structural Inclusions

- Compression Fracture > 25% and < 50%
- Displaced posterior element fractures (not spinous or trans-verse process) that disrupt spinal canal

Clinical Findings

- Loss of relevant reflex(es)
- 2 cm or greater atrophy with circumferential measurements of relevant extremity

Cervicothoracic & Thoracolumbar = 15% WP Lumbosacral = 10% WP

Spine DRE III Radiculopathy & Others

Radiculopathy may be an accepted/compensable condition with corresponding clinical findings, but may *not* be ratable as DRE III

Must have "significant signs" of radiculopathy

- Loss of reflex(es) includes decreased and absent relevant reflex(es)
- 2 cm or greater atrophy with circumferential measurements of relevant extremity



| Nerve Root | Weakness (Atrophy) | Deep Tendon Reflex |
|---------------|--|--|
| C5 | Deltoid, Biceps (upper arm) | Biceps |
| C6 | Biceps (upper arm), wrist extensors (forearm) | Brachioradialis |
| C7 | Triceps(upper arm), wrist flexors (forearm), finger extensors (forearm) | Triceps |
| C8 | Hand intrinsics (difficult to measure) | |
| T1 | Hand intrinsics (difficult to measure) | |
| L4 | Quadriceps (thigh) | Patellar or "knee jerk" |
| L5 | Gluteus medius (difficult to measure), tibialis anterior (lower leg) and extensor hallucis longus (difficult to measure) | Medial hamstring (difficult to obtain) |
| S1 | Gastrocnemius, soleus (lower leg/calf) | Achilles or "ankle jerk" |

Spine DRE III Radiculopathy & Others

- Appeal Panel Decisions (APDs) 040924, 091039, 111710 - Loss of relevant reflex(es) includes decreased and absent reflexes
- APD 030091-s Radiculopathy requires >2 cm of atrophy and/ or loss of relevant reflex(es)
- APD 072220-s clarified that DRE III radiculopathy was for atrophy of <u>2 cm or more</u>





Spine DRE III Radiculopathy

Electrodiagnostic studies?

APD 051456 EDX studies may be used to verify radiculopathy as stated on page 102, DRE III and in Table 71, page 109, but are insufficient alone to rate as DRE III



Spine DRE III Radiculopathy

- What about MRI, CT, Discograms and other X-ray findings?
- History and other physical exam findings?
- There should be clinical correlation "...plausible and relate to the Impairment being evaluated." page 8, Guides
- Surgery? (page 100 Guides vs. DWC law and rules)
 - <u>Rate impairment that is present</u>
 <u>at MMI</u>



Questions About Spine MMI/IR Case 3?

Check out the article, **"Nomenclature and Classification of Lumbar Disc Pathology**" at http://hbtinstitute.com/files/SPINE 2001_Disk_Nomenclature.pdf



Questions About Spine MMI/IR?



Spine - MMI, IR, EOI Case

You receive a Presiding officer Directive address MMI/IR and EOI and provide multiple certifications

- NOTICE Effective 6/5/2023: DDs are no longer required to provide multiple certifications when a DWC-032 requests MMI/IR and EOI in a single exam.
- Multiple certifications are only to be provided when ordered by the division

The directions in the following slides are for a hypothetical POD request. The issues of MMI, IR and EOI will usually be requested on a POD requesting multiple certifications, but that could vary.



- 45-year-old male warehouse worker with acute onset low back pain after lifting 150-lb. toolbox four months ago
- Medical records document low back pain on date of injury with left buttock, posterior thigh and calf radicular pain five days later
- Physical exam 2 weeks post injury
 - Left leg weakness
 - Slightly decreased ankle DTR
 - Left SLR positive for increased left leg symptoms



- Lumbar x-rays show L5/S1 spondylosis
- Lumbar MRI shows L5/S1 disc degeneration, ligamentum flavum and facet hypertrophy L5/S1; 6 mm left posterolateral disc herniation left L5-S1 with impingement exiting left S1 nerve root
- Signs and symptoms persist despite 10 visits of PT, NSAIDS, muscle relaxants and narcotic pain medication
- ESI and surgery denied because extent of injury beyond a lumbar sprain/strain disputed



DD Exam 4 Months Post Injury for

MMI, IR and EOI and multiple certifications:

- **Certification 1** for carrier accepted injuries,
- **Certification 2** for carrier accepted plus all disputed, and
- Certification 3 for the DD's opinion of the compensable injury if different from the first two certifications.
- The POD notes the insurance carrier accepted injury as "lumbar sprain/strain"



DD Exam 4 - Months Post Injury for MMI, IR and EOI (cont'd)

- On the POD, the ALJ lists the injuries (diagnoses/body parts/conditions) in question, claimed to be caused by, or naturally resulting from accident or incident as:
 - Facet hypertrophy at L5/S1
 - Ligamentum flavum hypertrophy L5/S1
 - Disc dessication at L5/S1 lumbar spine
 - L5-S1 disc herniation with impingement on exiting left S1 nerve root



- You define the compensable injury for certifying MMI and IR
 - Lumbar sprain/strain (from POD list of Carrier accepted injuries)
 - L5-S1 disc herniation with impingement on exiting left S1 nerve root (from POD list of disputed conditions)
 - Left S1 radiculopathy (not included in POD)
- Explain in report basis in medical records and certifying exam that led to conclusion



Ę

You address *Extent of Injury*, with causation analysis, that injury *does not* extend to these additional claimed injuries listed in Box 31C

- Facet hypertrophy and at L5/S1
- Ligamentum flavum hypertrophy L5/S1
- Disc desiccation at L5/S1 lumbar



You address *Extent of Injury*, with causation analysis, injury *does* extend to

 L5-S1 disc herniation with impingement on exiting left S1 nerve root



- Multiple certifications of MMI/IR, as directed in the POD, each with DWC Form-069, all explained in your report
 - Certification 1
 - Certification 2
 - Certification 3



Certification 1

MMI/IR for injury Noted in POD as *injury accepted as compensable by insurance carrier* as "lumbar sprain/strain"



Certification 1



Texas Department of Insurance Division of Workers' Compensation 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • MS-94

Austin, TX 78744-1645 (800) 252-7031 phone • (512) 490-1047 fax

| Complete if known: |
|--------------------|
| |

DWC069

DWC Claim #

Carrier Claim #

| | Report of Med | lical Evaluation | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| I. GENERAL INFORMATION | 4. Injured Employee's Name | e (First, Middle, Last) | 9. Certifying Doctor's Name and License Type | | | | | |
| 1. Workers' Compensation Insurance Carrier | 5. Date of Injury | 6. Social Security Number | 10. Certifying Doctor's License Number and Jurisdiction | | | | | |
| 2. Employer's Name | 7. Employee's Phone Numb | ber | 11. Certifying Doctor's Phone and Fax Numbers | | | | | |
| 3. Employer's Address (Street or PO Box, City State Zip) | 8. Employee's Address (Stre | eet or PO Box, City State Zip) | 12. Certifying Doctor's Address (Street or PO Box, City State Zp) | | | | | |
| L II. DOCTOR'S ROLE 13. Indicate which role you are serving in the clai evaluate MMI/impairment and file this report [28 T | m in performing this eva exas Administrative Cod | luation. Only a doctor se e (TAC) §130.1 governs s | vring in one of the following roles is authorized to uch authorization]: | | | | | |
| Treating Doctor Doctor selected by Treating Insurance Carrier-selected RME Doctor approve | Doctor acting in place of t d by DWC to evaluate MM | he Treating Doctor De I and/or permanent impairm | signated Doctor selected by DWC ent after a Designated Doctor examination | | | | | |
| NOTE: If you are not authorized by 28 TAC §130.1 to | o file this report, you will no | ot be paid for this report or t | he MMI/impairment examination. | | | | | |
| III. MEDICAL STATUS INFORMATION | | | | | | | | |
| 14. Date of Exam 15. Diagnosis Codes 16. Indicate whether the employee has reached Cl | B_5XXA inical or Statutory MMI ba | S39_0 ased upon the following of | 12A lefinitions: | | | | | |
| Clini imum Medical Improvement (Cli recov or lasting improvement to an injur | nic MMI) is the earliest d onger reasonabl | ate after which, based upor y be anticipated. | n reasonable medical probability, further material | | | | | |
| Statut II is the later of: (1) the end of th (2) the date to | week after the date MI was extended b | e that temporary income be y DWC pursuant to Texas I | nefits (TIBs) began to accrue; or .abor Code §408.104. | | | | | |
| a) Yes, I control the employee reached S (may not cospective date) and have | ORY / CLINICAL (d documentation relati | mark one) MMI on/ ng to this certification in the | e attached narrative OR - | | | | | |
| b) 🗌 No, I certify employee has NOT r The reason the vee has not reach | MMI but is expected to re is documented in the atta | each MMI on or about ched narrative. | // | | | | | |
| NOTE: The fact that an ereach er Clin | nical MMI or Statutory MMI | does not signify that the en | nployee is no longer entitled to medical benefits. | | | | | |
| IV. PERMANENT IMPAIRMENT | | | | | | | | |
| 17. If the employee has reached MMI, indicate whether the employee has permanent impairment as a result of the compensable injury. | | | | | | | | |
| "Impairment" means any anatomic or functional abnormality or loss existing after MMI that results from a compensable injury and is reasonably presumed to be permanent. The finding that impairment exists must be made based upon objective clinical or laboratory findings meaning a medical finding of impairment resulting from a compensable injury, based upon competent objective medical evidence that is independently confirmable by a doctor, including a designated doctor, without reliance on the subjective symptoms perceived by the employee. | | | | | | | | |
| a) I certify that the employee does not have any permanent impairment as a result of the compensable injury OR - b) I certify that the employee has permanent impairment as a result of the compensable injury. The amount of permanent impairment is%, which was determined in accordance with the requirements of the Texas Labor Code and Texas Administrative Code. The attached narrative provides explanation and documentation used for the calculation of the impairment rating assigned using the appropriate tables, figures, or worksheets from the following edition of the <i>Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment</i> published by the American Medical Association (AMA): in third edition, second printing, February 1989 - OR - | | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: A finding of no impairment is not equivalent | t to a 0% impairment ratin | ig. A doctor can only assig | gn an impairment rating, including a 0% rating, if the | | | | | |
| V. DOCTOR'S CERTIFICATION | a sy the runn Guides. | | | | | | | |
| 18. I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS REPORT OF N rules. If an impairment rating has been assigned, I assign impairment ratings in the Texas workers' con rating. I understand that making a misrepresentation nullification of this report. | IEDICAL EVALUATION is certify that I have complet pensation system or have about a workers' compen | complete and accurate and ted the required training an e received specific permissi sation claim or myself is a d | I complies with the Texas Labor Code and applicable d testing and have a current certification by DWC to on by DWC to certify MMI and assign an impairment prime that can result in fines and/or imprisonment and | | | | | |
| Signature of Certifying Doctor: | | | Date of Certification: | | | | | |
| VI. TREATING DOCTOR'S AGREEMENT OR DISA | GREEMENT WITH ANOTH | HER DOCTOR'S CERTIFIC | ATION | | | | | |
| 19. Treating Doctor's Name and License Type | | ISAGREE with the cortifuin | a doctor's certification of MMI | | | | | |
| 20. Treating Doctor's License Number and Jurisdiction | 23. | ISAGREE with the certifying | g doctor's finding of no impairment OR - | | | | | |
| 21. Treating Doctor's Phone and Fax Numbers (Ph) (Fax) 24. Lunderstand that making a misconconstation sho | | ISAGREE with the impairm | ent rating assigned by the certifying doctor. | | | | | |
| Signature of Treating Doctor: | at a workers compensation | | Date: | | | | | |
| DWC069 Pay 01/15 | | | Date 1 of 2 | | | | | |

Certification 2

MMI/IR for what the carrier has accepted **plus** all conditions listed on POD as disputed:

- Lumbar sprain/strain
- Facet hypertrophy at L5/S1
- Ligamentum flavum hypertrophy L5/S1
- Disc dessication at L5/S1 lumbar spine
- L5-S1 disc herniation with impingement on exiting left S1 nerve root



Certification 2



Texas Department of Insurance Division of Workers' Compensation 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • MS-94 Austin, TX 78744-1645 (800) 252-7031 phone • (512) 490-1047 fax

| Complete if known: |
|--------------------|
| DWC Claim # |
| Carrier Claim # |
| |

DWC069

| | Report of Medical Evaluation | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| I. GENERAL INFORMATION | 4. Injured Employee's Nam | e (First, Middle, Last) | 9. Certifying Doctor's Name and License Type | | | | | |
| 1. Workers' Compensation Insurance Carrier | 5. Date of Injury | 6. Social Security Number | 10. Certifying Doctor's License Number and Jurisdiction | | | | | |
| 2. Employer's Name | 7. Employee's Phone Num | ber | 11. Certifying Doctor's Phone and Fax Numbers (Ph) (Fax) | | | | | |
| 3. Employer's Address (Street or PO Box, City State Zip) | 8. Employee's Address (Str | reet or PO Box, City State Zip) | 12. Certifying Doctor's Address (Street or PO Box, City State Zp) | | | | | |
| II. DOCTOR'S ROLE | | | | | | | | |
| 13. Indicate which role you are serving in the clai evaluate MMI/impairment and file this report [28 T | m in performing this eva exas Administrative Cod | luation. Only a doctor se le (TAC) §130.1 governs si | rving in one of the following roles is authorized to uch authorization]: | | | | | |
| Treating Doctor Doctor selected by Treating Insurance Carrier-selected RME Doctor approve | Doctor acting in place of t d by DWC to evaluate MM | the Treating Doctor De | signated Doctor selected by DWC ent after a Designated Doctor examination | | | | | |
| NOTE: If you are not authorized by 28 TAC §130.1 to | o file this report, you will no | ot be paid for this report or the | ne MMI/impairment examination. | | | | | |
| III. MEDICAL STATUS INFORMATION | | | | | | | | |
| 14. Date of Exam 15. Diagnosis Codes 33.5XX | KA, S39.01 | 2A, M54.17 | 7, M51.27, M51.37 | | | | | |
| 16 Indicate whether the employee has reached Cl | inical or Statutory MMI b | ased upon the following d | efinitions: | | | | | |
| nical Maximum Medical Improvement (overy from or lasting improvement to a | ical MMI) is the earliest of an no longer reasonab | late after which, based upor ly be anticipated. | reasonable medical probability, further material | | | | | |
| utory MMI is the later of: (1) the end (2) the da | 104th week after the dat hich MMI was extended b | e that temporary income be by DWC pursuant to Texas L | nefits (TIBs) began to accrue; or .abor Code §408.104. | | | | | |
| a) A ertify that the employee reacher (A manual the prospective date) appendix e inc | ATUTORY / CLINICAL | (mark one) MMI on / _ ing to this certification in the | // e attached narrative OR - | | | | | |
| b) No, I cere at the employee by reache The reason in Ployee by creached MM | d MMI but is expected to r | each MMI on or about | // | | | | | |
| NOTE: The fact that an employee reaches either Clin | nical MMI or Statutory MMI | does not signify that the en | nployee is no longer entitled to medical benefits. | | | | | |
| IV. PERMANENT IMPAIRMENT | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| 17. If the employee has reached MMI, indicate wh | ether the employee has | permanent impairment as | a result of the compensable injury. | | | | | |
| "Impairment" means any anatomic or functiona presumed to be permanent. The finding that im finding of impairment resulting from a compens doctor, including a designated doctor, without rel | "Impairment" means any anatomic or functional abnormality or loss existing after MMI that results from a compensable injury and is reasonably presumed to be permanent. The finding that impairment exists must be made based upon objective clinical or laboratory findings meaning a medical finding of impairment resulting from a compensable injury, based upon competent objective medical evidence that is independently confirmable by a doctor, including a designated doctor, without reliance on the subjective symptoms perceived by the employee. | | | | | | | |
| a) a) I certify that the employee does not have any permanent impairment as a result of the compensable injury OR - o) I certify that the employee has permanent impairment as a result of the compensable injury OR - o) I certify that the employee has permanent impairment as a result of the compensable injury. The amount of permanent impairment is%, which was determined in accordance with the requirements of the Texas Labor Code and Texas Administrative Code. The attached narrative provides explanation and documentation used for the calculation of the impairment rating assigned using the appropriate tables, figures, or worksheets from the following edition of the <i>Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment</i> published by the American Medical Association (AMA): I third edition, second printing, February 1989 - OR - | | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: A finding of no impairment is not equivalen | t to a 0% impairment ratir | ng. A doctor can only assig | gn an impairment rating, including a 0% rating, if the | | | | | |
| doctor performed the examination and testing require | ed by the AMA Guides. | | | | | | | |
| I HEREBY CERTIFICATION I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS REPORT OF M rules. If an impairment rating has been assigned, i assign impairment ratings in the Texas workers' con rating. I understand that making a misrepresentation nullification of this report. | MEDICAL EVALUATION is certify that I have comple npensation system or have a about a workers' comper | complete and accurate and ted the required training an e received specific permissi isation claim or myself is a d | I complies with the Texas Labor Code and applicable d testing and have a current certification by DWC to on by DWC to certify MMI and assign an impairment rrime that can result in fines and/or imprisonment and | | | | | |
| Signature of Certifying Doctor: | | | Date of Certification: | | | | | |
| VI. TREATING DOCTOR'S AGREEMENT OR DISA | GREEMENT WITH ANOT | HER DOCTOR'S CERTIFIC | ATION | | | | | |
| 19. Treating Doctor's Name and License Type | 22. | ISAGREE with the certifying | g doctor's certification of MMI. | | | | | |
| 20. Treating Doctor's License Number and Jurisdiction | g doctor's finding of no impairment OR - | | | | | | | |
| (Ph) (Fax) 24. Lunderstand that making a misrepresentation above | LI TAGREE / LI L | | ent raung assigned by the certifying doctor. | | | | | |
| Signature of Treating Doctor: | at a workers' compensation | | Date: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| DWC069 Rev. 01/15 | | | Date 1 of 2 | | | | | |
| DW0003 Rev. 01/10 | | | | | | | | |

Certification 3

MMI/IR for what you defined injury to be

- Lumbar sprain/strain
- Left S1 radiculopathy
- L5-S1 disc herniation with impingement on exiting left S1 nerve root



Certification 3



Texas Department of Insurance

Division of Workers' Compensation
 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • MS-94
 Austin, TX 78744-1645
 (800) 252-7031 phone • (512) 490-1047 fax

| Complete if known: | |
|--------------------|--|
| DWC Claim # | |
| Carrier Claim # | |

DWC069

| | Report of Medical Evaluation | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| I. GENERAL INFORMATION | 4. Injured Employee's Name (First, Middle, Last) | 9. Certifying Doctor's Name and License Type | | | | | |
| 1. Workers' Compensation Insurance Carrier | 5. Date of Injury 6. Social Security Numb | er 10. Certifying Doctor's License Number and Jurisdiction | | | | | |
| 2. Employer's Name | 7. Employee's Phone Number | 11. Certifying Doctor's Phone and Fax Numbers (Ph) (Fax) | | | | | |
| 3. Employer's Address (Street or PO Box, City State Zip) | 8. Employee's Address (Street or PO Box, City State Zip) | 12. Certifying Doctor's Address (Street or PO Box, City State Zip) | | | | | |
| II. DOCTOR'S ROLE | | | | | | | |
| 13. Indicate which role you are serving in the clai | m in performing this evaluation. Only a doctor | serving in one of the following roles is authorized to | | | | | |
| Treating Doctor Doctor selected by Treating Insurance Carrier-selected RME Doctor approved | Doctor acting in place of the Treating Doctor d by DWC to evaluate MMI and/or permanent imp | Designated Doctor selected by DWC airment after a Designated Doctor examination | | | | | |
| NOTE: If you are not authorized by 28 TAC §130.1 to | o file this report, you will not be paid for this report | or the MMI/impairment examination. | | | | | |
| III. MEDICAL STATUS INFORMATION | | | | | | | |
| 14. Date of Exam 15. Diagnosis 533 | .5XXA, S39.012A | , M54.17, M51.27 | | | | | |
| 16. Indicate whether the employee has reached Cl | inical or Statutory MMI based upon the following | g definitions: | | | | | |
| r from or lasting improvement to an | nical MMI) is the earliest date after which, based is y can no longer reasonably be anticipated. | pon reasonable medical probability, further material | | | | | |
| S y MMI is the later of: (1) the c. (2) the d | 104th week after the date that temporary incom hich MMI was extended by DWC pursuant to Tex | e benefits (TIBs) began to accrue; or as Labor Code §408.104. | | | | | |
| a) Yes if y that the employee reacher f A (may a prospective date) and inc | TUTORY / CLINICAL (mark one) MMI on luded documentation relating to this certification in | _/ / the attached narrative OR - | | | | | |
| b) No, I centre the employee has been reached The reason poloyee has been ched MMI | d MMI but is expected to reach MMI on or about _ is documented in the attached narrative . | | | | | | |
| NOTE: The fact that an employee reaches either Clin | ical MMI or Statutory MMI does not signify that the | e employee is no longer entitled to medical benefits. | | | | | |
| IV. PERMANENT IMPAIRMENT | ather the employee has permanent impairment | as a result of the compensable injury | | | | | |
| If it is emproyee has reached within indicate whether the employee has permanent impairment as a result of the Compensable injury. "Impairment" means any anatomic or functional abnormality or loss existing after MMI that results from a compensable injury and is reasonably presumed to be permanent. The finding that impairment exists must be made based upon objective clinical or laboratory findings meaning a medical finding of impairment resulting from a compensable injury, based upon competent objective medical evidence that is independently confirmable by a doctor, including a designated doctor, without reliance on the subjective symptoms perceived by the employee | | | | | | | |
| a) I certify that the employee does not have any permanent impairment as a result of the compensable injury OR - b) I certify that the employee has permanent impairment as a result of the compensable injury. The amount of permanent impairment is%, which was determined in accordance with the requirements of the Texas Labor Code and Texas Administrative Code. The attached narrative provides explanation and documentation used for the calculation of the impairment rating assigned using the appropriate tables, figures, or worksheets from the following edition of the <i>Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment</i> published by the American Medical Association (AMA): b) I third edition, 18-2000 | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: A finding of no impairment is not equivalen doctor performed the examination and testing require | t to a 0% impairment rating. A doctor can only a d by the AMA Guides. | ssign an impairment rating, including a 0% rating, if the | | | | | |
| V. DOCTOR'S CERTIFICATION | | | | | | | |
| 18.1 HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS REPORT OF N rules. If an impairment rating has been assigned, I assign impairment ratings in the Texas workers' con rating. I understand that making a misrepresentation nullification of this report. | IEDICAL EVALUATION is complete and accurate certify that I have completed the required training opensation system or have received specific perm about a workers' compensation claim or myself is | and complies with the Texas Labor Code and applicable i and testing and have a current certification by DWC to ission by DWC to certify MMI and assign an impairment a crime that can result in fines and/or imprisonment and | | | | | |
| Signature of Certifying Doctor: | | Date of Certification: | | | | | |
| VI. TREATING DOCTOR'S AGREEMENT OR DISA | GREEMENT WITH ANOTHER DOCTOR'S CERT | FICATION | | | | | |
| 19. Treating Doctor's Name and License Type | 22. □ I AGREE / □ I DISAGREE with the certi | ying doctor's certification of MMI. | | | | | |
| 20. Treating Doctor's License Number and Jurisdiction 21. Treating Doctor's Phone and Fax Numbers | 23. | iving doctor's finding of no impairment OR - irment rating assigned by the certifying doctor. | | | | | |
| (Ph) (Fax) 24. Lunderstand that making a misrepresentation above | It a workers' compensation claim is a crime that c | an result in fines and/or imprisonment | | | | | |
| Signature of Treating Doctor: Date: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| DWC069 Rev. 01/15 | | Page 1 of 3 | | | | | |
| | | Compensation | | | | | |



Questions About Multiple Certifications of MMI/IR under the current rule?



EOI – Connect the Dots

accident/incident

+

claimed injury

+

claimant's medical history and treatment
+

evidence based medicine, where applicable +

appropriate legal terms



Extent of Injury Analysis Section of a Designated Doctor Report

In addition to the basic requirements of a designated doctor narrative report in 28 TAC Rule 127.220, a narrative on extent of injury may include the following information:

I. Injury in Question (Box 31C of the DWC Form-032)

State injuries in question as listed in Box <u>31C, and</u> define and describe each injury in medical terms.

<u>Note:</u> Extent of Injury is a specific question as to a specific injury as listed in Box 31C. Failure to use the exact terms as listed in Box 31C to refer to the injury may result in a letter of clarification, or a report not being adopted. If there are other medical terms used in the report to refer to the injury in question as listed in Box 31C, <u>explain</u> or clarify that to the reader by stating these terms are synonyms, one is inclusive of the other, etc. If there are injuries that can be grouped together as the same, or part of the same medical process, explain such grouping.



II. Accident/Incident (Mechanism of Injury)

Describe the accident/incident (mechanism of injury). Include any account described and who gave it. (i.e. Claimant told me during the exam, or treating doctor describes in the notes on 1/1/2023.)

III. <u>Clinical Findings and Timeline in Support of Causation Analysis</u>

Provide the relevant findings contained in the medical records, history and physical exam. Where applicable, detail symptom onset relative to the timeline of the medical history.

In your review and detail of the above, pay particular attention to the following in relation to the injury in question (Box 31C):

- a. Did the injury in question exist prior to the work-related accident or incident (mechanism of injury)?
- b. Was the injury in question present during the physical examination?



IV. Analysis of Clinical Findings and Timeline

Provide an analysis based on the findings from Sections II and III above, and any other relevant supporting factors to explain the basis for the opinion regarding the injury in question (Box 31C).

Pay particular attention to the following in relation to the causation analysis for the injury in question (Box 31C), and explain all that are applicable:

- a. Consider whether the timeline of symptom onset was consistent with the work-related injury, including relevant medical records prior to the injury, proximate to the time of injury, as well as post-injury treatment and testing.
- **b**. Is this type of work-related accident/incident (mechanism of injury) consistent or inconsistent with the injury in question?

If evidence-based medicine is available, then explain how it supports that the work-related accident/incident (mechanism of injury) caused or did not cause the injury in question (Box 31C).



v. Medical/Legal Causation Opinion Statement

SAMPLE CONCLUSION TEXT

"Based on the above referenced reasons, it is my medical opinion, based upon my education, training, and experience, and within reasonable medical probability that (the work-related accident/incident/ mechanism of injury) <u>caused or did</u> <u>not cause</u> the injury in question (Box 31C). I find that the compensable injury of (mm/dd/yyyy) <u>was/ was not</u> a substantial factor in bringing about the additional claimed injury or condition (Box 31C), and without it, the additional injury or condition (Box 31C) would not have occurred. Specifically, it <u>does or does not extend to include</u> (Box 31C)."

** DWC does not require use of this form, and additionally, this form is not applicable in all cases. DDs must adhere to all applicable rules regarding reporting requirements and this form is neither a substitute nor an addendum to those requirements. This form is an example of expert causation analysis where extent of injury is an issue, and is not a comment on whether expert testimony is required to establish causation as to this, or any other specific injury. Whether expert testimony is required to establish causation for an injury is a determination that is made at the hearings level on a case by case basis.



- What about "aggravation"?
- EBM for lumbar spine,
- HNP, etc.

65

Any Other Questions?

Remember to check out the article, "**Nomenclature and Classification of Lumbar Disc Pathology**" at http://hbtinstitute.com/files/SPI NE2001_Disk_Nomenclature.pdf



Any Other Questions?

Remember to check out the article, "Nomenclature and Classification of Lumbar Disc Pathology" at http://hbtinstitute.com/files/SPI NE2001_Disk_Nomenclature.pdf



Spine MMI/IR/Extent Of Injury (MMI/IR/EOI)

Remember : The requirement of providing multiple certifications when addressing MMI/IR and EOI in a single exam has been removed effective 6/5/2023.

The **§127.1-127.25 and §180.23** rules can be viewed at: <u>https://www.tdi.texas.gov//wc/rules/2023rules.html</u> scroll down to: Designated Doctor Procedure and Requirements



Thank you

