Confidential Information Redacted
Texas Labor Code §§402.083 and 402.092

No. 2021-6876

Official Order of the Texas Commissioner of Workers' Compensation

Date: <u>06/21/2021</u>

Subject Considered:

Starr Specialty Insurance Company 399 Park Avenue, Floor 8 New York, New York 10022-4877

Consent Order
DWC Enforcement File No. 25845

General remarks and official action taken:

This is a consent order with Starr Specialty Insurance Company (Starr). The commissioner of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation (DWC) considers whether DWC should take disciplinary action against Starr.


Waiver

Starr acknowledges that the Texas Labor Code and other applicable laws provide certain rights. Starr waives all of these rights, and any other procedural rights that apply, in consideration of the entry of this consent order.

Findings of Fact

- 1. Starr holds a certificate of authority issued by the Texas Department of Insurance to transact the business of insurance pursuant to Tex. Ins. Code §§ 801.051-801.053 and is licensed to write multiple lines of insurance in Texas, including workers' compensation/employers' liability insurance.
- 2. Starr was not selected to be tiered in the 2007, 2009, 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, or 2018 Performance Based Oversight (PBO) assessments.

DWC Audit No. IP-20-111



- 4. The audit examined TIBs payments that Starr reported issuing between and DWC identified 19 initial TIBs payments for audit.

 A total of one initial TIBs payment failed to meet selection criteria and was dropped from the audit sample. The remaining 18 payments were reviewed to determine Starr's compliance.
- 5. The audit focused on the timely payment of initial TIBs and electronic data interchange (EDI) reporting. The EDI portion of the audit focused on the timely reporting of initial TIBs payments and accuracy of five data elements reported to DWC (First Date of Disability, Date of First Written Notice, TIBs from Date, TIBs End Date, and Initial TIBs Payment Date).

Failure to Timely Pay Initial TIBs

- 6. Starr failed to timely initiate TIBs for 56% of examined payments (10 out of 18).
- 7. Specifically, Starr issued payments to injured employees less than six days late in two instances, between six and 15 days late in six instances, between 16 and 30 days in one instance, and over 30 days late in one instance.

Failure to Timely or Accurately Report EDI Data to DWC

- 8. Starr failed to timely report initial TIBs payments for 11% of examined payments (two out of 18).
- 9. Starr failed to accurately report the First Date of Disability for 6% of examined payments (one out of 18).
- 10. Starr failed to accurately report the Date of First Written Notice for 28% of examined payments (five out of 18).

Assessment of Sanction

- 1. Failure to provide income benefits in a timely and cost-effective manner is harmful to injured employees and the Texas workers' compensation system.
- 2. Timely submitting information and documents to DWC is imperative for it to implement and enforce the Texas Workers' Compensation Act.
- 3. DWC relies on claims information insurance carriers submit for many purposes, including, but not limited to, providing required information and reports to the Legislature, ensuring that insurance carriers comply with the Texas Labor Code and DWC rules, and detecting patterns and practices in actions insurance carriers take on claims.
- 4. In assessing the sanction for this case, DWC fully considered the following factors in Tex. Lab. Code § 415.021(c) and 28 Tex. Admin. Code § 180.26(e):
 - the seriousness of the violation, including the nature, circumstances, consequences, extent, and gravity of the prohibited act;
 - the history and extent of previous administrative violations;
 - the violator's demonstration of good faith, including actions it took to rectify the consequences of the prohibited act;
 - the penalty necessary to deter future violations;
 - whether the administrative violation had a negative impact on the delivery of benefits to an injured employee;
 - the history of compliance with EDI requirements;
 - to the extent reasonable, the economic benefit resulting from the prohibited act; and
 - other matters that justice may require, including, but not limited to:
 - PBO assessments;
 - o prompt and earnest actions to prevent future violations;
 - o self-report of the violation;
 - o the size of the company or practice;
 - o the effect of a sanction on the availability of health care; and
 - evidence of heightened awareness of the legal duty to comply with the Texas Workers' Compensation Act and DWC rules.
- 5. DWC found the following factors in Tex. LAB. CODE § 415.021(c) and 28 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 180.26(e) to be aggravating: the seriousness of the violation, including the

nature, circumstances, consequences, extent, and gravity of the prohibited act; the history and extent of previous administrative violations; the penalty necessary to deter future violations; whether the administrative violation had a negative impact on the delivery of benefits to an injured employee; the history of compliance with EDI requirements; and other matters that justice may require, including the size of the company or practice.

- 6. DWC found the following factors in Tex. Lab. Code § 415.021(c) and 28 Tex. Admin. Code § 180.26(e) to be mitigating: the violator's demonstration of good faith, including actions it took to rectify the consequences of the prohibited act. The insurance carrier issued payment of benefits as soon as it was made aware of the delinquency, prior to notification by DWC.
- 7. Starr acknowledges it communicated with DWC about the relevant statutes and rules it violated; the facts establish that the administrative violation occurred; and the proposed sanction is appropriate, including the factors DWC considered under Tex. Lab. Code § 415.021(c) and 28 Tex. Admin. Code § 180.26(e).
- 8. Starr acknowledges that, in assessing the sanction, DWC considered the factors in Tex. Lab. Code § 415.021(c) and 28 Tex. Admin. Code § 180.26(e).

Conclusions of Law

- 1. The commissioner has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Tex. Lab. Code §§ 402.001, 402.00114, 402.00116, 402.00128, 409.021, 414.002, 414.003, 415.002, and 415.021.
- 2. The commissioner has the authority to dispose of this case informally pursuant to Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.056, Tex. Lab. Code §§ 401.021 and 402.00128(b)(7), and 28 Tex. Admin. Code § 180.26(h).
- 3. Starr has knowingly and voluntarily waived all procedural rights to which it may have been entitled regarding the entry of this order, including, but not limited to, issuance and service of notice of intent to institute disciplinary action, notice of hearing, a public hearing, a proposal for decision, a rehearing by the commissioner, and judicial review.

- 4. Pursuant to Tex. Lab. Code § 415.021, the commissioner may assess an administrative penalty against a person who commits an administrative violation.
- 5. Pursuant to Tex. LAB. Code § 415.002(a)(20), an insurance carrier or its representative commits an administrative violation each time it violates a DWC rule.
- 6. Pursuant to Tex. Lab. Code § 415.002(a)(22), an insurance carrier or its representative commits an administrative violation each time it fails to comply with a provision of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act.
- 7. Pursuant to Tex. Lab. Code § 409.021 and 28 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 124.3 and 124.7, an insurance carrier is required to initiate payment of TIBs no later than the 15th day after it receives written notice of the injury or the seventh day after the accrual date, unless the insurance carrier notifies DWC and the injured employee in writing of its refusal to pay.
- 8. Starr violated Tex. LAB. Code §§ 415.002(a)(20) and (22) each time it failed to timely initiate payment of TIBs.
- 9. Pursuant to 28 Tex. Admin. Code § 124.2(a) and (b), insurance carriers are required to notify DWC and the injured employee of actions taken or events occurring in a claim, as specified by rule in the form and manner DWC prescribes. Inherent in this duty is the requirement that insurance carriers report this information accurately.
- 10. Starr violated Tex. Lab. Code § 415.002(a)(20) each time it failed to timely or accurately notify DWC and the injured employee of actions it took or events that occurred in a claim, as specified by rule in the form and manner DWC prescribed.

Order

It is ordered that Starr Specialty Insurance Company must pay an administrative penalty of \$17,000 within 30 days from the date of this order. Starr Specialty Insurance Company must pay the administrative penalty by company check, cashier's check or money order and make it payable to the "State of Texas." Mail the administrative penalty to the Texas Department of Insurance, Attn: DWC Enforcement Section, MC AO-9999, P.O. Box 12030, Austin, Texas 78711-2030.

Cassie Brown

Commissioner of Workers' Compensation

Approved Form and Content:

Amy Norman

Staff Attorney, Enforcement Compliance and Investigations

Division of Workers' Compensation

Affidavit

STATE OF New York	§		
COUNTY OF New York	§ §		
Before me, the undersigned who being by me duly swo		appeared Steven E	Blakey ,
"My name is Steven Blakey statement, and have persor	I am al knowledge of these	of sound mind, ca facts which are tru	apable of making this ue and correct.
I hold the office of President Starr Specialty Insurance Co this statement.	and CEO and many. I am duly auth	nd am the authoriz norized by the org	zed representative of Janization to execute
Starr Specialty Insurance of consent order and agrees worder." — DocuSigned by:			_
Steven Blakey			
SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIB	ED before me on	May 7	, 2021.
(NOTARY SEAL)			
	Sig	Signature of Notary Public	
	Pri	nted Name of No	tary Public
	Co	mmission Expirati	on